

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/736,273	12/15/	2003	Michael Edward Houle	JP920020208US1	JP920020208US1 3829	
67158	7590	12/28/2007		- EXAMINER		
	JI & ASSOCI ARCH DRIVE	IATES, P.C.				
Q011 DECE/						

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |

ONLY 36, 273 | 12/15/03 | EXAMINER |

L. M. | ART UNIT | PAPER |

3/67 20071221

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Miranda Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-4112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax number to this Art Unit is (571)-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

Miranda Le

December 21, 2007

Muandale

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/736,273	HOULE, MICHA	EL EDWARD				
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Miranda Le	2167					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
The amendment document filed on <u>14 October 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.							
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other							
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 							
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings 							
showing amended figures, without mai	showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other						
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 							
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):							
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.							
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:							
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 							
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.							
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		t amendment is a	non-final				
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.							
	Miranda 	Le Muan	elevle				
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telepho	ne No.					

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The proposed deletions of five or fewercharacters using strikethrough are not readily readable (i.e. the proposed deletion of claims 1, 2, 4, 8. Double brackets (i.e., "[[]]") should be used instead of strikethrough to show these.